## **PUBLIC COPY**



identifying data deleted to c prevent clearly unwarranted ion of personal netvace

100

FILE:

EAC 01 241 52254

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date:

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

JUN 032004

PETITION:

Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the

Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

**SELF-REPRESENTED** 

**INSTRUCTIONS:** 

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director Administrative Appeals Office EAC 01 241 52254 Page 2

**DISCUSSION:** The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) dismissed a subsequent appeal. The matter is again before the AAO on motion to reopen. The motion will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a check cashing business that seeks to employ the beneficiary as a night shift supervisor. The petitioner endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101 (a)(15)(H)(i)(b). The director denied the petition on the basis that the proffered position did not meet the definition of a specialty occupation. The AAO affirmed the director's findings.

On motion, the petitioner states that it disagrees with the AAO director's interpretation of the law. The petitioner's submission of additional evidence does not satisfy the requirements of a motion to reopen. A motion to reopen must state the new facts to be proved in the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(2). Generally, the new facts must be material and unavailable previously, and could not have been discovered earlier in the proceeding. See 8 C.F.R. § 1003.23(b)(3). Here, no evidence in the motion contains new facts that were previously unavailable.

A motion that does not meet applicable requirements shall be dismissed. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(4). In visa petition proceedings, the burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought remains entirely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not met that burden.

**ORDER:** The motion is dismissed. The previous decision of the AAO, dated March 19, 2003, is affirmed. The petition is denied.